



Industry–academia collaboration and its impact on reducing the skill gap among graduate apprentices

Amar Deep Yadav¹, Dr. Enid Masih²

¹ Research Scholar, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

² Associate Professor, Head Department of Business Studies, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/njmrd.2026.11.4.11082>

Abstract

The transition from higher education to professional employment is often affected by a mismatch between graduates' academic preparation and the competencies required in contemporary workplaces. This challenge is particularly significant for graduate apprentices who are expected to apply theoretical knowledge while developing practical technological and professional capabilities in industrial environments. Industry–academia collaboration provides a structured mechanism for reducing this mismatch through curriculum co-design work-integrated learning industrial mentorship shared infrastructure applied research and joint assessment. This study examines how these mechanisms contribute to the development of graduate-apprentice competencies. Drawing on the literature on experiential learning employability work-integrated learning and university–industry partnerships the study proposes a conceptual framework for evaluating the impact of collaboration. It argues that collaboration is most effective when industry participation extends beyond recruitment and includes continuous engagement in curriculum development training delivery supervision and evaluation. The study also identifies implementation barriers including fragmented institutional responsibilities unequal access to industrial placements inadequate mentor preparation confidentiality constraints and weak assessment systems. Finally, it proposes recommendations for higher education institutions employers' policymakers and graduate apprentices. The study concludes that sustained and well-governed collaboration can improve workplace readiness shorten the transition from learning to productive employment and support the continuous renewal of graduate skills.

Keywords: Industry–academia collaboration graduate apprentices skill gap work-integrated learning employability curriculum alignment industrial mentorship engineering education

Introduction

Employers increasingly require graduates who can combine disciplinary knowledge with practical problem-solving digital literacy communication teamwork adaptability and professional judgment. Although universities provide important theoretical foundations graduates may still lack experience with industrial processes workplace technologies regulatory requirements quality systems and organizational decision-making. This difference between the competencies developed through formal education and those expected in employment is commonly described as the graduate skill gap.

The skill gap is especially important in graduate apprenticeship programs. Unlike conventional entry-level employment graduate apprenticeships combine structured employment with planned learning and professional development. Apprentices are expected to contribute to organizational objectives while progressively developing occupational competence. Their success therefore depends on the extent to which academic learning and workplace learning complement one another.

Industry–academia collaboration offers a means of establishing this connection. In a collaborative arrangement higher education institution contribute disciplinary expertise pedagogical knowledge research capacity and learning infrastructure. Industry partners contribute knowledge of current technologies occupational standards organizational practices emerging job roles and the practical conditions

under which skills are applied. When these contributions are coordinated apprentices receive opportunities to connect classroom concepts with authentic workplace tasks.

However, collaboration does not automatically reduce the skill gap. Partnerships that are limited to occasional guest lectures recruitment events or short-term placements may have only a modest effect. Effective collaboration requires shared responsibility for learning outcomes curriculum design supervision assessment and quality assurance. It also requires mechanisms for collecting and using feedback from employers' apprentices' mentors and academic staff.

This study examines the relationship between industry–academia collaboration and skill-gap reduction among graduate apprentices. It has four objectives:

- To identify the principal causes of the graduate-apprentice skill gap.
- To examine the collaboration mechanisms that support workplace readiness.
- To propose a conceptual framework for evaluating collaboration outcomes.
- To recommend institutional and policy measures for improving graduate apprenticeship programs.

The study is conceptual and literature-based. It does not claim original survey results or experimental findings. Instead; it synthesizes established research and develops an analytical framework that can guide future empirical studies.

Background and Related Literature

a. The Graduate Skill Gap

The graduate skill gap is not limited to a lack of technical knowledge. It may include weaknesses in several interconnected areas:

- application of theoretical knowledge to practical problems
- use of current industrial software tools and equipment
- data interpretation and evidence-based decision-making
- communication with multidisciplinary teams
- project planning and time management
- workplace safety and regulatory compliance
- quality assurance and documentation
- ethical and professional judgment; and
- adaptability to technological and organizational change

Employers often expect graduates to become productive within a relatively short period. Graduates however may require additional training before they can work independently. This transition period can increase organizational costs and affect employer confidence in university graduates.

The skill gap also varies across sectors. A graduate apprentice in advanced manufacturing may need experience with automation digital production systems industrial data and quality standards. An apprentice in software development may need collaborative development practices cybersecurity awareness testing procedures and cloud-based tools. In both cases formal academic knowledge is important but insufficient without contextual practice.

b. Work-Integrated Learning

Work-integrated learning connects academic study with structured workplace experience. It includes apprenticeships internships cooperative education industry projects clinical placements fieldwork and other forms of supervised professional learning.

The central value of work-integrated learning is that it exposes students to authentic tasks and consequences. Learners must work within constraints involving time safety budgets customers regulations organizational procedures and team responsibilities. This experience helps them understand not only what they know but also how knowledge is used in professional settings.

Research on work-integrated learning suggests that its effectiveness depends on the quality of the placement and the level of integration with academic learning. A placement without clearly defined learning outcomes may become routine employment rather than an educational experience. Similarly, classroom content that is disconnected from workplace activities may not support meaningful reflection or transfer of learning.

c. Experiential Learning and Professional Identity

Experiential learning theories emphasize the relationship between experience reflection conceptual understanding and subsequent action. Graduate apprentices learn through participation in real work observation of experienced professionals' feedback and repeated application of knowledge.

Apprenticeships may also contribute to professional identity formation. Through interaction with supervisors' colleagues' clients and professional communities' apprentices begin to understand the values standards

language and responsibilities associated with their occupation. A strong professional identity can improve confidence motivation ethical awareness and commitment to continuous development.

d. University–Industry Partnerships

University–industry partnerships can take multiple forms. These include:

- advisory boards
- collaborative curriculum design
- sponsored research
- industrial laboratories
- shared equipment and facilities
- industry-led workshops
- academic staff placements
- staff exchange programs
- student and graduate-apprentice placements
- joint innovation projects; and
- employer participation in assessment

The literature indicates that partnerships are more sustainable when they provide value to all participants. Universities seek relevance employability outcomes research opportunities and access to industrial knowledge. Employers seek capable recruits' solutions to technical problems access to research expertise and reduced training costs. Apprentices seek meaningful learning mentoring professional networks and improved employment prospects.

Conceptual Framework

This study proposes a collaboration framework with five connected components: alignment exposure support assessment and feedback.

a. Curriculum Alignment

Curriculum alignment involves the systematic comparison of academic learning outcomes with occupational requirements. Industry representatives can identify emerging technologies common workplace tasks professional standards and capabilities expected of entry-level employees.

Alignment should not mean that universities simply reproduce current industry practices. Academic programs must continue to develop fundamental knowledge critical thinking ethical reasoning and the ability to learn independently. Instead collaboration should ensure that foundational knowledge is connected to current professional applications.

Curriculum alignment can be implemented through

- annual reviews involving employers and professional bodies
- occupational competency mapping
- industry-informed laboratory activities
- case studies based on current workplace problems
- integration of professional software and tools
- employer participation in project evaluation
- periodic review of graduate-apprentice learning outcomes

b. Authentic Workplace Exposure

Graduate apprentices require opportunities to perform meaningful tasks rather than merely observe workplace activities. Authentic exposure may include participation in

production improvement software development maintenance planning quality assurance data analysis design reviews customer support or research and development.

Workplace exposure is most beneficial when tasks are progressively structured. Early activities may focus on observation and basic procedures. Later activities can involve independent analysis decision-making project ownership and cross-functional collaboration.

A well-designed apprenticeship should provide a balance between operational contribution and learning. If apprentices are used only for routine tasks their development may be limited. If they are given responsibilities without adequate support they may experience avoidable failure and disengagement.

c. Joint Mentorship

Joint mentorship is one of the most important mechanisms for connecting academic and industrial learning. It normally involves an academic mentor and an industry supervisor who coordinate learning objectives monitor progress and provide feedback.

The academic mentor can help the apprentice interpret workplace experience connect practice to theory and identify areas requiring further study. The industry mentor can provide guidance on procedures professional standards organizational expectations safety and task performance.

Effective mentorship requires:

- clearly defined responsibilities
- regular communication between mentors
- mentor training
- scheduled progress reviews
- constructive and timely feedback
- escalation procedures for difficulties
- recognition of mentoring as a legitimate professional responsibility

d. Shared Infrastructure and Applied Projects

Shared laboratories industrial software platforms simulation environments testing facilities and applied research projects can expose apprentices to technologies that may not be available in conventional classrooms.

Applied projects are particularly valuable because they require apprentices to integrate several forms of knowledge. A project may involve technical design documentation teamwork risk assessment cost considerations stakeholder communication and evaluation of outcomes.

Where direct access to industrial facilities is restricted universities and employers can use digital twin's simulation tools anonymized datasets remote laboratories and industry-developed case materials. These alternatives cannot fully replace workplace experience but they can increase preparation and reduce the risk associated with initial exposure to complex systems.

e. Assessment and Feedback

Assessment should measure not only what apprentices know but also how effectively they apply knowledge in professional contexts. Suitable assessment methods include:

- practical demonstrations
- project portfolios
- workplace observation
- supervisor evaluations
- reflective reports
- technical presentations

- competency interviews
- problem-based assessments
- evidence of professional development

Assessment criteria should be transparent and jointly understood by the university employer mentor and apprentice. When academic and workplace assessments are disconnected apprentices may receive conflicting messages about priorities and standards.

Feedback from assessment should be used to improve the program. For example, if employers repeatedly identify weaknesses in technical documentation or data analysis the curriculum can be revised. Similarly, if apprentices report inadequate supervision mentor preparation and placement procedures can be strengthened.

How Collaboration Reduces the Skill Gap

a. Connecting Theory and Practice

Industry participation helps apprentices understand how theoretical principles are used to make decisions. Concepts that may appear abstract in a classroom become meaningful when applied to actual equipment production systems software projects or organizational problems.

This connection also improves the transfer of learning. Apprentices are more likely to recognize when a theoretical concept is relevant and how it should be adapted to specific workplace conditions.

b. Improving Technical Currency

Technologies and processes change rapidly. Academic curricula may require several years to pass through formal approval processes while industrial tools and practices may change within months. Collaboration provides universities with current information about these changes.

Industry-informed teaching can therefore introduce apprentices to contemporary tools standards and workflows. This reduces the likelihood that graduates will encounter unfamiliar technologies for the first time after employment.

c. Developing Professional and Interpersonal Skills

Workplace competence includes more than technical ability. Apprentices must communicate with colleagues document their work respond to feedback manage competing priorities and contribute to team decisions.

Industry settings create repeated opportunities to develop these abilities. Apprentices learn how communication differs across technical and nontechnical audiences. They also learn that professional decisions must account for safety cost time quality and customer requirements.

d. Supporting Problem-Solving and Adaptability

Academic assessments often provide clearly defined problems with known information. Workplace problems may be ambiguous incomplete or affected by competing interests. Collaboration exposes apprentices to this uncertainty.

By working on authentic problems apprentices learn to define the problem identify missing information consult stakeholders test possible solutions and evaluate consequences. These capabilities are valuable in sectors where innovation and continuous improvement are essential.

e. Strengthening Professional Identity and Confidence

Regular interaction with experienced professionals helps apprentices develop a realistic understanding of their

occupation. They observe professional conduct ethical expectations decision-making processes and career pathways.

Successful completion of meaningful workplace tasks can also strengthen self-efficacy. Confidence developed through supported practice may improve motivation and willingness to undertake challenging work. However, confidence must be accompanied by accurate feedback so that apprentices understand both their strengths and their development needs.

f. Reducing the Transition Period

Employers may spend considerable time and resources helping new graduates understand workplace procedures. Graduate apprentices who have already experienced industrial systems team practices safety requirements and professional documentation may require less initial adjustment.

This does not mean that collaboration eliminates the need for employer training. Every organization has unique processes and expectations. Rather collaboration can provide apprentices with transferable foundations that make organizational onboarding more efficient.

Proposed Evaluation Framework

A major challenge in evaluating industry–academia collaboration is that programs often report participation measures rather than learning outcomes. The number of placements industry events or partner organizations does not by itself demonstrate that the skill gap has been reduced.

A more comprehensive evaluation should examine five categories of outcomes.

a. Apprentice Learning Outcomes

- Evaluation should consider whether apprentices demonstrate improvement in
 - technical competence
 - digital and data literacy
 - workplace problem-solving
 - communication
 - teamwork
 - safety and regulatory awareness
 - project management
 - ethical reasoning
 - self-directed learning
- Evidence can be collected through practical assessments portfolios supervisor reports and performance reviews.

b. Workplace Performance

- Employers can assess whether apprentices are increasingly able to
 - perform tasks with less supervision
 - identify and resolve technical problems
 - follow quality and safety procedures
 - communicate effectively with relevant stakeholders
 - contribute to continuous improvement
 - transfer learning to new tasks
- Workplace performance should be interpreted carefully because it is influenced by the complexity of the placement the quality of supervision and the apprentice’s prior experience.

c. Transition and Employment Outcomes

Relevant indicators include

- completion of the apprenticeship
- progression to permanent employment

- time required to reach expected independent performance
- professional certification
- retention after completion
- progression into more advanced responsibilities
- These outcomes should not be treated as the only indicators of program quality. Employment outcomes may also be affected by labor-market conditions economic changes and organizational hiring policies.

d. Partnership Quality

The partnership itself should be evaluated. Important questions include:

- Are responsibilities clearly defined?
- Do academic and industry mentors communicate regularly?
- Are learning outcomes jointly agreed?
- Does industry contribute to curriculum review?
- Are apprentices exposed to meaningful work?
- Are concerns addressed promptly?
- Is the partnership supported by senior leadership?
- Are resources distributed equitably?

e. Equity and Inclusion

- Apprenticeship programs should also be assessed for equitable access and participation. Differences in location financial resources disability gender socioeconomic background language and digital access may influence the quality of workplace learning.
- Institutions and employers should monitor whether all apprentices receive comparable mentoring meaningful tasks safe working conditions and opportunities for advancement.

Challenges and Limitations

a. Different Institutional Priorities

Universities may emphasize academic depth research and long-term intellectual development while employers may prioritize immediate productivity and operational performance. Without a shared understanding of program objectives collaboration may become unstable.

b. Limited Capacity of Industry Partners

Small and medium-sized organizations may lack the staff equipment or administrative capacity required to supervise apprentices. Even large employers may experience difficulties during periods of restructuring or high workload.

c. Inconsistent Mentorship

The quality of an apprenticeship can depend heavily on the assigned supervisor. An experienced technical employee may not necessarily be an effective mentor. Without preparation and institutional support supervisors may focus only on task completion.

d. Confidentiality and Intellectual Property

Industrial projects may involve confidential information proprietary systems or restricted data. These limitations can make it difficult for apprentices to document and present their work for academic assessment.

e. Unequal Access to Technology

Not all universities or employers possess the same equipment software or digital infrastructure. This may create differences in the quality of apprenticeship experiences and limit the portability of collaboration models across institutions.

f. Short-Term Partnership Models

Some partnerships are created to address immediate recruitment needs but lack long-term planning. Such arrangements may provide placements without improving curriculum assessment or institutional learning.

g. Risk of Over-Specialization

Close alignment with one employer or sector may cause training to become too narrow. Graduate apprentices need both current occupational skills and transferable foundations that allow them to adapt to future changes.

Recommendations

a. Recommendations for Higher Education Institutions

- Higher education institutions should:
- establish formal industry advisory structures with clearly defined responsibilities
- review apprenticeship curricula regularly with employers and professional bodies
- prepare academic staff for supervision of workplace learning
- recognize industry engagement in academic workload and promotion systems
- develop practical assessment methods linked to workplace competencies
- provide apprentices with preparation in communication safety ethics and professional conduct
- maintain records of employer and apprentice feedback for continuous improvement

b. Recommendations for Employers

- Employers should:
- provide apprentices with structured learning plans
- assign trained workplace mentors
- expose apprentices to meaningful and progressively challenging tasks
- communicate performance expectations clearly
- provide regular feedback and development discussions
- involve apprentices in cross-functional activities where appropriate
- participate in curriculum review and assessment design

c. Recommendations for Policymakers

Policymakers should:

- develop common quality standards for graduate apprenticeships
- provide incentives for small and medium-sized enterprises to participate
- support shared training centers and industrial laboratories
- establish mechanisms for recognizing workplace learning
- fund research on apprenticeship outcomes
- promote equitable access to high-quality placements
- encourage portability of skills across employers and sectors

d. Recommendations for Graduate Apprentices

Graduate apprentices should:

- maintain an individual learning and development plan
- seek feedback from both academic and workplace mentors
- document evidence of acquired competencies
- connect workplace experiences with academic concepts

- develop digital communication and teamwork skills alongside technical skills
- reflect critically on errors and successful outcomes
- take responsibility for continuous professional learning

Conclusion

Industry-academia collaboration can make a substantial contribution to reducing the skill gap among graduate apprentices. Its primary value lies in connecting academic knowledge with authentic workplace practice. Through curriculum co-design structured workplace exposure joint mentorship shared infrastructure applied projects and integrated assessment collaboration can improve technical competence professional behavior problem-solving adaptability and confidence.

The effectiveness of collaboration depends on its depth and continuity. Partnerships focused only on recruitment or short-term placements are unlikely to address the full range of graduate competencies. Sustainable outcomes require shared objectives clearly defined responsibilities trained mentors meaningful apprentice tasks regular communication and evidence-based evaluation.

The study also emphasizes that skill-gap reduction should not be understood solely as the acquisition of employer-specific tools. Graduate apprentices require transferable knowledge and the ability to continue learning as technologies and occupations change. Therefore, the most effective model combines industry relevance with academic breadth critical thinking ethical reasoning and professional adaptability.

Future research should use longitudinal and comparative designs to examine how different collaboration models affect apprentice learning workplace performance retention and career progression. Research should also investigate the experiences of small and medium-sized employers and evaluate how apprenticeship programs can provide equitable opportunities for diverse groups of graduates.

References

1. DA Kolb. *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, Prentice-Hall, 1984.
2. EF Crawley, J Malmqvist, S Östlund, DR Brodeur, K Edström. *Rethinking Engineering Education: The CDIO Approach*, 2nd ed. Cham, Switzerland, Springer, 2014.
3. C-J Patrick, D Peach, C Pocknee, F Webb, M Fletcher, G Pretto. *The WIL Report: A National Scoping Study*. Brisbane, Australia, Queensland University of Technology, 2008.
4. D McGunagle, L Zizka. Employability skills for 21st-century STEM students: The employers' perspective. *Higher Education, Skills and Work-Based Learning*, 2020;10(3):591–603. doi: 10.1108/HESWBL-10-2019-0148.
5. R Raj, M Sabin, J Impagliazzo, D Bowers, M Daniels, F Hermans, *et al.* Professional competencies in computing education. *Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference*, 2021. doi: 10.1145/3502870.3506570.
6. W Maisiri, H Darwish, L Van Dyk. An investigation of Industry 4.0 skills requirements. *The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 2019;30(3):90–105. doi: 10.7166/30-3-2230.

7. T Wilson. A Review of Business–University Collaboration. London, U.K., Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, 2012.
8. GS Becker. Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis, with Special Reference to Education, 3rd ed. Chicago, IL, USA, University of Chicago Press, 1993.
9. World Bank. World Development Report 2019: The Changing Nature of Work. Washington, DC, USA, World Bank, 2018. doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-1328-3.
10. S Suyitno, M Nurtanto, D Jatmoko, Y Widiyono, RY Purwoko, A Abdillah, *et al.* The effect of work-based learning on employability skills: The role of self-efficacy and vocational identity. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 2025;14(1):309–323. doi: 10.12973/eu-jer.14.1.309.